arXiv:1401.6086v1 [hep-th] 23 Jan 2014

# Physical ageing and new representations of some Lie algebras of local scale-invariance

Malte Henkel and Stoimen Stoimenov

**Abstract** Indecomposable but reducible representations of several Lie algebras of local scale-transformations, including the Schrödinger and conformal Galilean algebras, and their applications in physical ageing are reviewed. The physical requirement of the decay of co-variant two-point functions for large distances is related to analyticity properties in the coordinates dual to the physical masses or rapidities.

## 1 Introduction

Scale-invariance is recognised as one of the main characteristics of phase transitions and critical phenomena. In addition, it has also become common folklore that given sufficiently local interactions, scale-invariance can be extended to larger Lie groups of coordinate transformations. Quite a few counter-examples exist, but the folklore carries on. Here, we are interested in the phenomenology of phase transitions, either at equilibrium or far from equilibrium and shall study situations when scale-invariance does indeed extend to conformal invariance or one of the generalisations appropriate for scale-invariant dynamics. We review recent results on indecomposable, but reducible ('logarithmic') representations and discuss sufficient conditions which guarantee they decay of co-variant two-point functions at large distances.

Consider the transformations in $(1+d)$-dimensional time-space $\mathbb{R}\otimes\mathbb{R}^d$

$$t\mapsto t'=\frac{\alpha t+\beta}{\gamma t+\delta}\ ,\ \mathbf{r}\mapsto\mathbf{r}'=\frac{\mathscr{R}\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{v}_{2\ell}t^{2\ell}+\ldots\mathbf{v}_1 t+\mathbf{v}_0}{(\gamma t+\delta)^{2\ell}}\ ;\ \alpha\delta-\beta\gamma=1 \quad (1)$$

Malte Henkel
Groupe de physique Statistique, Institut Jean Lamour (CNRS UMR 7198), Université de Lorraine Nancy, B.P. 70239, F - 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France,

Stoimen Stoimenov
Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tsarigradsko chaussee, Blvd., BG – 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

where $\mathscr{R} \in SO(d)$, $\mathbf{v}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{2\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$. The infinitesimal generators from these transformations only close in a Lie algebra if $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ (sometimes called '*spin-$\ell$ algebra*'). In $(1+1)$ dimensions, this algebra can be formulated in terms of two infinite families of generators $\mathfrak{sc}(1,\ell) := \langle X_n, Y_m \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{Z}, m+\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the form

$$X_n = -t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)\ell t^n r\partial_r \ , \ Y_m = -t^{m+\ell}\partial_r \tag{2}$$

with the non-vanishing commutators [19]

$$[X_n, X_{n'}] = \left(n-n'\right) X_{n+n'} \ , \ [X_n, Y_m] = (\ell n - m) Y_{n+m} \tag{3}$$

and where $z := 1/\ell$ is the *dynamical exponent*. The maximal finite-dimensional subalgebra is $\mathfrak{spi}(1,\ell) := \langle X_{\pm 1,0}, Y_{-\ell,\ldots,\ell-1,\ell} \rangle$, for $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. In analogy with conformal invariance, Ward identities must be formulated which will describe the action of these generators on scaling operators such that the co-variance under these transformations can be used to derive differential equations to be satisfied by $n$-point correlators. Alternatively, one may include the corresponding terms directly into the generators themselves, which has the advantage that the verification of the commutators guarantees the self-consistency of co-variance. However, the explicit representation (2) does not take into account any transformation properties of the (quasi-)primary scaling operators on which it is assumed to act.

Dynamic time-space symmetries with a generic dynamical exponent ($z \neq 1$ possible) often arise as 'non-relativistic limits' of the conformal algebra. The two best-known examples are (i) the *Schrödinger algebra* $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ which in (2) corresponds to $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ (discovered in 1842/43 by Jacobi and in 1881 by Lie) and (ii) the *conformal Galilean algebra* $\mathrm{CGA}(d)$ [17] which corresponds in (2) to $\ell = 1$. These two important special cases can also be obtained by two distinct, complementary approaches

1. The non-relativistic limit of time-space conformal transformations such that a fixed value of the dynamical exponent $z$ is assumed, reproduces the Schrödinger and conformal Galilean algebras from the restriction to flat time-like and light-like geodesics, along with $z = 2$ and $z = 1$ [13].
2. If one tries to include the transformations of scaling operators into the representation (3) of the infinite-dimensional algebra (3) only the cases with $\ell = \frac{1}{2}, 1$ close as a Lie algebra. Besides the conformal algebra, this reproduces the Schrödinger and conformal Galilean algebras [20].

Including the terms which describe the transformation of quasi-primary scaling operators often leads to central extensions of the above algebras. For $\ell = \frac{1}{2}$, one has instead of $\mathfrak{spi}(1,\frac{1}{2})$ the *Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra* $\mathfrak{sv} = \langle X_n, Y_m, M_n \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{Z}, m\in\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}$ [18, 49] spanned by the generators

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_t - \frac{n+1}{2}t^n r\partial_r - \frac{n+1}{2}xt^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}\mathscr{M}t^{n-1}r^2 \\ Y_m &= -t^{m+\frac{1}{2}}\partial_r - \left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathscr{M}t^{m-\frac{1}{2}}r \quad , \quad M_n = -t^n\mathscr{M} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$




**Fig. 1** Root diagrammes of (a) $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$ (b) $\mathfrak{age}(1)$ and (c) $\mathfrak{alt}(1) = \mathrm{CGA}(1)$ as sub-algebras of the complex Lie algebra $B_2$. If the second generator in the centre is included (double red circle) one obtains the maximal parabolic sub-algebras of $B_2$.

($x$ is the scaling dimension and $\mathscr{M}$ the mass) and the non-vanishing commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [X_n, X_{n'}] &= (n-n')X_{n+n'} \quad , \quad [X_n, Y_m] = \left(\frac{n}{2} - m\right) Y_{n+m} \\ [X_n, M_{n'}] &= -n' M_{n+n'} \quad , \quad [Y_m, Y_{m'}] = \left(m - m'\right) M_{m+m'} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Its maximal finite-dimensional sub-algebra is the Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}(1) = \langle X_{\pm 1,0}, Y_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, M_0 \rangle$, which centrally extends $\mathfrak{spi}(1, \frac{1}{2})$. It is the maximal dynamical symmetry of the free Schrödinger equation $\mathscr{S}\phi = 0$ with $\mathscr{S} = 2M_0 X_{-1} - Y^2_{-\frac{1}{2}} = 2\mathscr{M}\partial_t - \partial_r^2$, in the sense that solutions of $\mathscr{S}\phi = 0$ with scaling dimension $x = x_\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ are mapped onto solutions, a fact already known to Jacobi and to Lie. More generally, unitarity implies the bound $x \geq \frac{1}{2}$ [35].

On the other hand, for $\ell = 1$ one obtains the *altern-Virasoro algebra* $\mathfrak{av} := \langle X_n, Y_n \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (also called 'full CGA'), with an explicit representation spanned by [17, 19, 42, 20, 14, 4, 5, 39, 12, 30, 31, 2, 11, 38, 3, 32, 27]

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)t^n r\partial_r - (n+1)xt^n - n(n+1)\gamma t^{n-1} r \\ Y_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_r - (n+1)\gamma t^n \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

which obeys (3) and has $\mathrm{CGA}(1) = \langle X_{\pm 1,0}, Y_{\pm 1,0} \rangle$ as maximal finite-dimensional sub-algebra.[1] The representation (6) is spanned by the two scalars $x$ and $\gamma$.

The relationship between $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$ and $\mathrm{CGA}(1)$ can in be understood in a different way by considering the imbedding $\mathfrak{sch}(1) \subset B_2$ into the complex Lie algebra $B_2$. This can be visualised in terms of a root diagramme, see figure 1(a), where the generators of $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$ are indicated by full black circles and the remaining ones by the grey circles. As it is well-known [33], a *standard parabolic sub-algebra* $\mathfrak{p}$, of a semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of the Cartan sub-algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and of all 'posi-

[1] In the context of asymptotically flat $3D$ gravity, an isomorphic Lie algebra is known as BMS algebra, $\mathfrak{bms}_3 \equiv \mathrm{CGA}(1)$ [8, 9, 6, 7].

tive' generators in $\mathfrak{g}$. The meaning of 'positive' can be simply illustrated in figure 1 for the special case $\mathfrak{g} = B_2$: one draws a straight line through the centre of the root diagramme and all generators on that line or to the right of it are 'positive'. From figure 1(a), one also sees that the Schrödinger algebra can be extended to a parabolic sub-algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sch}}(1) = \mathfrak{sch}(1) \oplus \mathbb{C}N$ by adding an extra generator $N$, which is indicated by the red double circle in the centre. Since the Weyl reflections and rotations can be used to map isomorphic sub-algebras onto each other, the classification of the maximal parabolic sub-algebras of $B_2$ can now be illustrated simply through the value of the slope $p$ of the straight line in figure 1 [21]:

1. if $p = 1$, one has $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sch}}(1) = \mathfrak{sch}(1) + \mathbb{C}N$, the parabolic extension of the Schrödinger algebra, see figure 1(a). See below for explicit forms for $N$.
2. if $1 < p < \infty$, one has $\widetilde{\mathfrak{age}}(1) = \mathfrak{age}(1) + \mathbb{C}N$, the parabolic extension of the *ageing algebra*, see figure 1(b).
3. if $p = \infty$, one has $\widetilde{\mathrm{CGA}}(1) = \mathrm{CGA}(1) + \mathbb{C}N$, the parabolic extension of the conformal Galilean algebra, see figure 1(c).

While CGA(1) does not have a central extension, this is different in $d = 2$ space dimensions, where a so-called 'exotic' central extension exists. This gives the *exotic conformal Galilean algebra* $\mathrm{ECGA} = \langle X_{\pm 1,0}, Y_{\pm 1,0}, \theta, R_0 \rangle$ [36, 37] with an explicit representation (where $j,k,\ell = 1,2$ and summation over repeated indices is implied)

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)t^n r_j \partial_j - x(n+1)t^n - n(n+1)t^{n-1}\gamma_j r_j - n(n+1)h_j r_j \\ Y_n^{(j)} &= -t^{n+1}\partial_j - (n+1)t^n \gamma_j - (n+1)t^n h_j - n(n+1)\theta\varepsilon_{jk} r_k \\ J &= -\varepsilon_{k\ell}\, r_k \partial_\ell - \varepsilon_{k\ell}\,\gamma_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_\ell} - \frac{1}{2\theta} h_j h_j \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

characterised by a scalar scaling dimension $x$ and a vector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ of *'rapidities'* [39, 12, 27]. The components of the vector $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, h_2)$ satisfy $[h_i, h_j] = \varepsilon_{ij}\theta$, where $\theta$ is central. $\varepsilon$ is the totally antisymmetric $2 \times 2$ tensor and $\varepsilon_{12} = 1$. The non-vanishing commutators of the ECGA read

$$\begin{aligned} [X_n, X_m] &= (n-m)X_{n+m} \quad , \quad \left[X_n, Y_m^{(i)}\right] = (n-m)Y_{n+m}^{(i)} \\ \left[Y_n^{(i)}, Y_m^{(j)}\right] &= \varepsilon_{ij}\,\delta_{n+m,0}\,(3\delta_{n,0} - 2)\,\theta \quad , \quad \left[J, Y_n^{(i)}\right] = \varepsilon_{ij} Y_n^{(j)} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and the ECGA-invariant Schrödinger operator is

$$\mathscr{S} = -\theta X_{-1} + \varepsilon_{ij} Y_0^{(i)} Y_{-1}^{(j)} = \theta\partial_t + \varepsilon_{ij}\,(\gamma_i + h_i)\,\partial_j \tag{9}$$

with $x = x_\phi = 1$. The unitary bound gives $x \geq 1$ [39].

The common sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$ and CGA(1) is called the *ageing algebra* $\mathfrak{age}(1) := \langle X_{0,1}, Y_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, M_0 \rangle$ and does not include time-translations. Starting from the representation (4), only the generators $X_n$ assume a more general form [22]

$$X_n = -t^{n+1}\partial_t - \frac{n+1}{2}t^n r\partial_r - \frac{n+1}{2}xt^n - n(n+1)\xi t^n - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}\mathscr{M}t^{n-1}r^2 \quad (10)$$

which also admits a more general invariant Schrödinger operator $\mathscr{S} = 2\mathscr{M}\partial_t - \partial_r^2 + 2\mathscr{M}t^{-1}\left(x+\xi-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, but without any constraint on neither $x$ nor $\xi$ [48]. This representation of $\mathfrak{age}(1)$ is characterised by the scalars $(x,\xi,\mathscr{M})$. The name of this algebra comes from its use as dynamical symmetry in *physical ageing*, which can be observed in strongly interacting many-body systems quenched from a disordered initial state to the co-existence regime below the critical temperature $T_c > 0$ where several equivalent equilibrium states exist. For example, for quenched Ising spins in $d \geq 2$ dimensions without disorder, nor frustrations, and with a purely relaxational dynamics without any conservation law, it can be shown that the dynamical exponent $z = 2$ [10]. Assuming $\mathfrak{age}(d)$ as a dynamical symmetry predicts the form of the two-time linear response function of the average order parameter $\langle\phi(t,\mathbf{r})\rangle$ with respect to its canonically conjugate magnetic field $h(s,\mathbf{r}')$ [18, 21, 22]

$$R(t,s;\mathbf{r}) = \left.\frac{\delta\langle\phi(t,\mathbf{r})\rangle}{\delta h(s,\mathbf{0})}\right|_{h=0} = \left\langle\phi(t,\mathbf{r})\widetilde{\phi}(s,\mathbf{0})\right\rangle = s^{-1-a}F_R\left(\frac{t}{s},\frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{t-s}\right) \quad (11)$$

$$F_R(y,u) = F_0\,\delta(\mathscr{M}-\widetilde{\mathscr{M}})\,\Theta(y-1)\,y^{1+a'-\lambda_R/z}(y-1)^{-1-a'}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{M}u\right]$$

where the standard Janssen-de Dominicis formalism (see e.g. [23]) was used to rewrite $R = \langle\phi\widetilde{\phi}\rangle$ as a correlator of the order parameter scaling operator $\phi$ and the conjugate response operator $\widetilde{\phi}$. Both of these are assumed to be quasi-primary under $\mathfrak{age}(d)$. The ageing exponents $a, a', \lambda_R/z$ are related to $x,\xi$ and $\widetilde{x},\widetilde{\xi}$ in a known way, e.g. $a'-a = \frac{2}{z}(\xi+\widetilde{\xi})$. $F_0$ is a normalisation constant and the $\Theta$-function expresses the causality condition $y = t/s > 1$, of which we shall say more in section 3 below. Spatial translation-invariance was assumed.

The case of a Schrödinger-invariance response is obtained if one sets $\xi = \widetilde{\xi} = 0$, hence $a = a'$ in (11).

Eq. (11) has been confirmed in numerous spin systems (e.g. Ising, Potts, XY, spherical, Hilhorst-van Leeuven, Edwards-Wilkinson,... models) which undergo simple ageing with $z = 2$, both for the time- and space-dependence; either from a known exact solution or using simulational data. For a detailed review, see [23]. Current empirical evidence suggests that for quenches to low temperatures $T < T_c$, one should have for the second scaling dimensions $\xi + \widetilde{\xi} = 0$, hence $a = a'$. However, the full representation (10) of $\mathfrak{age}(1)$ is needed in the $d = 1$ Glauber-Ising model, where the exact solution reproduces (11) with $a = 0$, $a' - a = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_R = 1$ [22]. One might anticipate that $a' - a \neq 0$ for quenches to the critical point $T = T_c$.

For critical quenches, one has in general $z \neq 2$, such that (11) does no longer apply. However, the form of the auto-response $R(t,s) = R(t,s;\mathbf{0})$ does not contain the precise spatial form so that at least that part of (11) can be used for preliminary tests of dynamical symmetries for generic values of $z$.

In section 2, various logarithmic representations of these algebras and some of their properties are reviewed. Known applications to physical ageing will be briefly

discussed. In section 3, the requirement of a physically sensible limit in the case of large spatial separation $|\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2|\to\infty$ leads to the derivation of causality conditions. These inform on the interpretation in terms of either responses or correlators.

## 2 Logarithmic representations

Logarithmic conformal field-theories arise from indecomposable but reducible representations of the Virasoro algebra [46, 16, 40, 45], see [44] for a collection of recent reviews. Formally, in the most simple case, this can be implemented [16, 43] by replacing the order parameter $\phi$ by a vector $\Phi=\begin{pmatrix}\psi\\ \phi\end{pmatrix}$ such that the scaling dimension $x$ in the Lie algebra generators becomes a Jordan matrix $\begin{pmatrix}x & 1\\ 0 & x\end{pmatrix}$. Anticipating the notation used for the algebras we are going to consider, we introduce, instead of a single two-point function $\langle\phi_1\phi_2\rangle$, the three two-point functions

$$F:=\langle\phi_1(t_1,\mathbf{r}_1)\phi_2^*(t_2,\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle\ ,\ G:=\langle\phi_1(t_1,\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_2^*(t_2,\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle\ ,\ H:=\langle\psi_1(t_1,\mathbf{r}_1)\psi_2^*(t_2,\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle \tag{12}$$

Temporal and spatial translation-invariance imply that $F=F(t,\mathbf{r})$, $G=G(t,\mathbf{r})$ and $H=H(t,\mathbf{r})$ with $t=t_1-t_2$ and $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{r}_2$. The shape of these functions is derived from the algebras introduced in section 1, as we now review.

### *2.1 Schrödinger algebra*

For the Schrödinger and the ageing algebras, the 'complex conjugate' $\phi^*$ in (12) refers to the mapping $\mathscr{M}\mapsto-\widetilde{\mathscr{M}}$ when in a response function such as (11) one goes from the order parameter $\phi$ to its conjugate response operator $\widetilde{\phi}$ [23]. This is necessary in applications to physical ageing. In particular, extending $\mathfrak{sch}(1)\to\widetilde{\mathfrak{sch}}(1)$ and using the physical convention $\mathscr{M}\geq 0$ of non-negative masses, implies causality $t_1-t_2>0$, as we shall see in section 3. While common in statistical physics applications in models described by stochastic Langevin equations [22], this was recently re-discovered in string-theory contexts [41].

Replacing in the generators (4) the scaling dimension $x$ by a $2\times 2$ Jordan matrix, the Schrödinger Ward identities (or co-variance conditions) can be written down for the three two-point functions (12). The result is, in $d\geq 1$ dimensions [28]

$$\begin{aligned}
F(t,\mathbf{r})&=\langle\phi_1(t,\mathbf{r})\phi_2^*(0,\mathbf{0})\rangle=0,\\
G(t,\mathbf{r})&=\langle\phi(t,\mathbf{r})\psi_2^*(0,\mathbf{0})\rangle=a\,t^{-2x_1}\exp\left[-\frac{\mathscr{M}_1\mathbf{r}^2}{2t}\right]\delta_{x_1,x_2}\delta_{\mathscr{M}_1,\mathscr{M}_2},\\
H(t,\mathbf{r})&=\langle\psi_1(t,\mathbf{r})\psi_2^*(0,\mathbf{0})\rangle=t^{-2x_1}\,(b-2a\ln t)\exp\left[-\frac{\mathscr{M}_1\mathbf{r}^2}{2t}\right]\delta_{x_1,x_2}\delta_{\mathscr{M}_1,\mathscr{M}_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $a, b$ are scalar normalisation constants. Time- and space-translation-invariance and also rotation-invariance for scalar $\phi, \psi$ were used.

## 2.2 Conformal Galilean algebra

The representation (6) of CGA(1) depends on both the scaling dimension $x$ [29] as well as the rapidity $\gamma$. Now, either of them may become a Jordan matrix and it turns out that the CGA(1)-commutators imply that *simultaneously* [27]

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \gamma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \gamma' \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

In contrast to $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$, the 'complex conjugate' is not needed here. The CGA-Ward identities lead to [27], immediately written down for $d \geq 1$ spatial dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \rangle (t, \mathbf{r}) = 0 \\ G &= \langle \phi_1 \psi_2 \rangle (t, \mathbf{r}) = a|t|^{-2x_1} e^{-2\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}/t} \, \delta_{x_1,x_2} \delta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} \, \delta_{x_1',x_2'} \delta_{\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2} \\ H &= \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle (t, \mathbf{r}) = |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-2\gamma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}/t} \left[ b - 2a \frac{\mathbf{r}}{t} \cdot \gamma'_1 - 2a x_1' \ln |t| \right] \delta_{x_1,x_2} \delta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} \delta_{x_1',x_2'} \delta_{\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where the normalisation $a = a(\gamma_1^2, {\gamma'_1}^2, \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma'_1)$ as follows from rotation-invariance for $d > 1$ and an analogous form holds for $b$.

## 2.3 Exotic conformal Galilean algebra

Again, both the scalar $x$ as well as the vector $\gamma$ may become simultaneously Jordan matrices, according to (14). One then needs four distinct two-point functions

$$F = \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \rangle \ , \ G_{12} = \langle \phi_1 \psi_2 \rangle \ , \ G_{21} = \langle \psi_1 \phi_2 \rangle \ , \ H = \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle \tag{16}$$

which all depend merely on $t = t_1 - t_2$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r}_2$. The operators $\mathbf{h}, \theta$ are realised in terms of auxiliary variables $\nu$ such that $h_i = \partial_{\nu_i} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} \nu_j \theta$ with $i, j = 1, 2$. Remarkably, it turns out that that two cases must be distinguished [27]:

**Case 1**: *defined by* $x_1' \neq 0$ *or* $x_2' \neq 0$ *and* $F = 0$.
In what follows, the indices always refer to the identity of the two primary operators $\Phi_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1,2} \\ \phi_{1,2} \end{pmatrix}$. We also use the two-dimensional vector product (with a scalar value) $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} := \varepsilon_{ij} a_i b_j$. Then $G_{12} = G(t, \mathbf{r}) = G(-t, -\mathbf{r}) = G_{21} =: G$ such that one has the constraints $x_1 = x_2$, $x_1' = x_2'$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ and (recall $d = 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} G &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\theta_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, g_0(\mathbf{w}) \\ H &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\theta_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, h(\mathbf{u},\nu_1,\nu_2) \\ h &= h_0(\mathbf{w}) - g_0(\mathbf{w})\left(2x_1'\ln|t| + \mathbf{u}\cdot\left(\gamma_1'+\gamma_2'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\nu_1-\nu_2\right)\cdot\left(\gamma_1'-\gamma_2'\right)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

together with the abbreviations $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r}/t$ and $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1+\nu_2)$. The functions $g_0(\mathbf{w})$ and $h_0(\mathbf{w})$ remain undetermined.

**Case 2**: *defined by* $x_1' = x_2' = 0$, hence only the vector $\gamma$ has a Jordan form.
One has the constraints $x_1 = x_2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\theta_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, f_0(\mathbf{w}) \\ G_{12} &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\theta_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, g_{12}(\mathbf{u},\nu_1,\nu_2) \\ G_{21} &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\theta_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, g_{21}(\mathbf{u},\nu_1,\nu_2) \\ H &= |t|^{-2x_1} e^{-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\cdot\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\cdot(\nu_1-\nu_2)}\, e^{\xi_1\mathbf{u}\wedge(\nu_1-\nu_2)+\frac{1}{2}\theta_1\nu_1\wedge\nu_2}\, h(\mathbf{u},\nu_{\mathbf{1}},\nu_2) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{12} &= g_0(\mathbf{w}) - f_0(\mathbf{w})\left(\mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu_2)\right)\cdot\gamma_2' \\ g_{21} &= g_0(\mathbf{w}) - f_0(\mathbf{w})\left(\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu_2)\right)\cdot\gamma_1' \\ h &= h_0(\mathbf{w}) - g_0(\mathbf{w})\left(\mathbf{u}\cdot\left(\gamma_1'+\gamma_2'\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu_2)\cdot\left(\gamma_1'-\gamma_2'\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f_0(\mathbf{w})\left(\mathbf{u}+\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu_2)\right)\cdot\gamma_1'\,\left(\mathbf{u}-\frac{1}{2}(\nu_1-\nu_2)\right)\cdot\gamma_2' \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The functions $f_0(\mathbf{w})$, $g_0(\mathbf{w})$ and $h_0(\mathbf{w})$ remain undetermined.

Finally, two distinct choices for the rotation generator have been considered in the littérature, namely (single-particle form)

$$J = -\mathbf{r}\wedge\partial_{\mathbf{r}} - \gamma\wedge\partial_\gamma - \frac{1}{2\theta}\mathbf{h}\cdot\mathbf{h} \quad\text{and}\quad R = -\mathbf{r}\wedge\partial_{\mathbf{r}} - \gamma\wedge\partial_\gamma - \nu\wedge\partial_\nu \tag{20}$$

The generator $J$ arises naturally when one derives the generators of the ECGA from a contraction of a pair conformal algebras with non-vanishing spin [39], whereas the choice $R$ has a fairly natural form, especially in the auxiliary variables $\nu$. Both generators obey the same commutators (8) with the other generators of ECGA and commute with the Schrödinger operator (9). One speaks of *'J-invariance'* if the generator $J$ is used and of *'R-invariance'*, if the generator $R$ is used. The consequences of both cases are different [27]:

**A)** If one uses $R$-invariance, in both cases the functions $f_0(\mathbf{w})$, $g_0(\mathbf{w})$ and $h_0(\mathbf{w})$ are short-hand notations for undetermined functions of 9 rotation-invariant combinations of $\mathbf{w}$, $\gamma_{1,2}$ and $\gamma_{1,2}'$, for example

$$f_0 = f_0\left(\mathbf{w}^2, \gamma_1^2, \gamma_2^2, {\gamma_1'}^2, {\gamma_2'}^2, \mathbf{w}\cdot\gamma_1, \mathbf{w}\cdot\gamma_2, \mathbf{w}\cdot\gamma_1', \mathbf{w}\cdot\gamma_2'\right) \tag{21}$$

and analogously for $g_0$ and $h_0$.
**B)** For $J$-invariance, the $\gamma$-matrices become diagonal, viz. $\gamma_1' = \gamma_2' = \mathbf{0}$. Then only case 1 retains a logarithmic (i.e. indecomposable) structure and, with $\widehat{\varepsilon} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= g_0\left(\gamma_1^2, \gamma_2^2, \gamma_1\cdot\gamma_2, \mathbf{w} + \widehat{\varepsilon}\,(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\,(2\theta_1)^{-1}\right) \\ h_0 &= h_0\left(\gamma_1^2, \gamma_2^2, \gamma_1\cdot\gamma_2, \mathbf{w} + \widehat{\varepsilon}\,(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\,(2\theta_1)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

In all these ECGA-covariant two-point functions, there never is a constraint on the $\gamma_i$, and on the $\gamma_i'$ only in the case of $J$-invariance.

## *2.4 Ageing algebra*

Since the representation (10) of $\mathfrak{age}(1)$ contains the two independent scaling dimensions $x, \xi$, either of those may take a matrix form. From the commutators, it can be shown that both are simultaneously of Jordan form [25]

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & x' \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \xi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \xi' \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

We use again the definitions (16). Since the space-dependent part of the two-point functions has the same form as already derived above, in the case of Schrödinger-invariance, we set $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ and consider only the time-dependent part where only the values of the exponents change when $z \neq 2$ is admitted. The requirement of co-variance under this logarithmic representation of $\mathfrak{age}(1)$ leads to [25]

$$\begin{aligned} F(t,s) &= s^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}\,\mathscr{F}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) f_0 \\ G_{12}(t,s) &= s^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}\,\mathscr{F}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\left(g_{12}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) + \gamma_{12}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\ln s\right) \\ G_{21}(t,s) &= s^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}\,\mathscr{F}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\left(g_{21}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) + \gamma_{21}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\ln s\right) \\ H(t,s) &= s^{-(x_1+x_2)/2}\,\mathscr{F}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\left(h_0\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) + h_1\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\ln s + h_2\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\ln^2 s\right) \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

with the abbreviation $\mathscr{F}(y) = y^{2\xi_2/z + (x_2-x_1)/z}(y-1)^{-(x_1+x_2)/z - 2(\xi_1+\xi_2)/z}$. Herein the scaling functions, depending only on $y = t/s$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{12}(y) &= -\frac{1}{2}x_2' f_0 \quad , \quad \gamma_{21}(y) = -\frac{1}{2}x_1' f_0 \\ h_1(y) &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(x_1' g_{12}(y) + x_2' g_{21}(y)\right) \quad , \quad h_2(y) = \frac{1}{4}x_1' x_2' f_0 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{12}(y) &= g_{12,0} + \left(\frac{x_2'}{2} + \xi_2'\right) f_0 \ln\left|\frac{y}{y-1}\right| \\
g_{21}(y) &= g_{21,0} - \left(\frac{x_1'}{2} + \xi_1'\right) f_0 \ln|y-1| - \frac{x_1'}{2} f_0 \ln|y| \\
h_0(y) &= h_0 - \left[\left(\frac{x_1'}{2} + \xi_1'\right) g_{21,0} + \left(\frac{x_2'}{2} + \xi_2'\right) g_{12,0}\right] \ln|y-1| \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{x_1'}{2} g_{21,0} - \left(\frac{x_2'}{2} + \xi_2'\right) g_{12,0}\right] \ln|y| \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} f_0 \left[\left(\left(\frac{x_1'}{2} + \xi_1'\right) \ln|y-1| + \frac{x_1'}{2} \ln|y|\right)^2 - \left(\frac{x_2'}{2} + \xi_2'\right)^2 \ln^2\left|\frac{y}{y-1}\right|\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and $f_0, g_{12,0}, g_{21,0}, h_0$ are normalisation constants. There are no constraints on any of the $x_i, x_i', \xi_i, \xi_i'$. However, for $z = 2$ the Bargman superselection rule $\mathscr{M}_1 + \mathscr{M}_2 = 0$ holds true.

## *2.5 Discussion*

Table 1 summarises some features of the various logarithmic representations considered here. First, logarithmic Schrödinger-invariance, with a single scaling dimension $x$ elevated to a Jordan matrix, is the straightforward extension of analogous results of logarithmic conformal invariance. In the other algebra, a more rich structure arises since there are at least two distinct quantities ($x$ and $\gamma$ for CGA and ECGA and $x$ and $\xi$ for $\mathfrak{age}$, respectively) which simultaneously become Jordan matrices.

In this respect the results form the CGA is the next natural step of generalisations, in that all naturally expected constraints between the labels of the representation are realised. In addition, from the explicit for of $H = \langle \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle$ in (15) one sees that for $x_1' = x_2' = 0$, no explicitly logarithmic terms remain, although the representation is still indecomposable. The possibility of finding such explicit examples of this kind was pointed out long ago [34]. More examples of this kind, including several ones of direct physical relevance, will be mentioned shortly. Next, when going over to the ECGA, we notice that the extra non-commutative structure with its non-trivial central charge has suppressed some of the constraints we had found before for the CGA. In addition, two quite distinct forms of the two-point functions are found. In the first case, see (17), the structure of the scaling function is quite analogous to the examples treated before, including an explicitly logarithmic contribution $\sim x_1' \ln|t|$. However, the second case gives the first surprise[2] that $F = \langle \phi_1 \phi_2 \rangle \neq 0$ ! Again, since now $x_1' = x_2' = 0$, no explicitly logarithmic term remains for this indecomposable

[2] The only previously known example of this had been obtained for the ageing algebra, where time-translations are excluded, see (24).

| algebra | eq. | constraints | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathfrak{sch}$ | (13) | $x_1 = x_2\ x_1' = x_2' = 1$ | | $\mathscr{M} + \mathscr{M}^* = 0$ | |
| $\mathfrak{age}$ | (24) | | | $\mathscr{M}_1 + \mathscr{M}_2 = 0$ | |
| CGA | (15) | $x_1 = x_2\ x_1' = x_2'$ | $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2\ \gamma_1' = \gamma_2'$ | | |
| | (17) | $x_1 = x_2\ x_1' = x_2'$ | | $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ | R1 |
| ECGA | (18) | $x_1 = x_2\ x_1' = x_2' = 0$ | | $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ | R2 |
| | (17) | $x_1 = x_2\ x_1' = x_2'$ | $\gamma_1' = \gamma_2' = \mathbf{0}$ | $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = 0$ | J1 |

**Table 1** Constraints of co-variant two-point functions in logarithmic representations of some algebras of local scale-transformations. The equation labels refer to the explicit form of the two-point function. The constraints apply to scaling dimensions $x, \xi$, rapidities $\gamma$ or the Bargman super-selection rules on the 'masses' $\theta$ or $\mathscr{M}$. For the ECGA, the last three lines refer either to *R*-invariance with the two distinct cases labelled R1 and R2 and normalisations given by (21) or else *J*-invariance, labelled by J1, where the normalisations are given by (22).

representation. It is hoped that these explicit forms might be helpful in identifying physical examples with these representations.

Finally, the case of $\mathfrak{age}$ is again different, since the breaking of time-translation-invariance which was present in all other algebras studied here gives rise to new possibilities. Especially, besides the ubiquitous Bargman superselection rule, no further constraints remain. On the other hand, two explicitly logarithmic contributions $\sim \ln s$ and $\sim \ln^2 s$ are obtained.

The scaling function (24) has been used as a phenomenological device to describe numerical data for the auto-response function $R(t,s) = R(t,s;\mathbf{0})$ for the slow non-equilibrium relaxation in several model systems. For maximal flexibility, one interprets the measured response function as the correlator $R(t,s) = H(t,s) = \langle \psi(t)\widetilde{\psi}(s)\rangle$ in the Janssen-de Dominicis formulation of non-equilibrium field-theory, where $\psi$ is the logarithmic partner and $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the corresponding response operator. Because of the excellent quality of the data collapse for several values of the waiting time $s$, one concludes that the logarithmic corrections to scaling which occur in (24) should be vanishing, which implies that $x' = x'_\psi = x'_1 = 0$ and $\widetilde{x}' = x'_{\widetilde{\psi}} = x'_2 = 0$. Hence, empirically, only the second scaling dimensions $\xi$ and $\widetilde{\xi}$ carry the indecomposable structure and the shape of $R(t,s)$ will be given by the scaling function $h_0$ in (26). Although there are no logarithmic corrections to time-dependent scaling, there are logarithmic modification in the shape of the scaling functions. Clearly, one can always arrange for the scaling $\xi' = \xi'_\psi = \xi'_1 = 0,1$ and $\widetilde{\xi}' = \xi'_{\widetilde{\psi}} = \xi'_2 = 1$ such that four free parameters remain to be fitted to the data. Excellent fits have been obtained for (i) the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation of interface growth [24], (ii) the directed percolation universality class [25] and (iii) the critical $2D$ voter model on a triangular lattice [47]. These comparisons also clearly show that a non-logarithmic representation of $\mathfrak{age}$ with $\xi' = \widetilde{\xi}' = 0$ would not nearly reproduce the data as satisfactorially. For a recent review and a detailed list of references, see [26].

## 3 Large-distance behaviour and causality

In section 2, the two-point functions were seen to be of the form $F(t,s;\mathbf{r}) = \langle \phi\phi^* \rangle \sim \exp\left(-\frac{\mathscr{M}}{2}\frac{r^2}{t-s}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{sch}(d)$ and $\sim \exp(-2\gamma\cdot\mathbf{r}/t)$ for $\mathrm{CGA}(d)$, respectively, where the the purely time-dependent parts are suppressed. *Can one show from an algebraic argument that* $|F(t,s;\mathbf{r})| \to 0$ *for large distances* $|\mathbf{r}| \to \infty$ *?*

As we shall see, the $F(t,s;\mathbf{r})$ cannot be considered as differentiable functions, but must rather seen as singular distributions, whose form may become more simple in convenient 'dual' variables. It will be necessary to identify these first before trying to reconstruct $F$. For notational simplicity, we restrict to the scalar case.

### *3.1 Schrödinger algebra*

One introduces first a new coordinate $\zeta$ dual to $\mathscr{M}$ (consider as a '$(-1)^{\text{st}}$' coordinate) by the transformation [15]

$$\widehat{\phi}(\zeta,t,\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}\mathscr{M}\, e^{\mathrm{i}\mathscr{M}\zeta}\phi_{\mathscr{M}}(t,\mathbf{r}) \tag{27}$$

Next, one extends $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$ to the parabolic sub-algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sch}}(1) \subset B_2$ by adding the extra generator $N$ [21]. When acting on $\widehat{\phi}$, the generators take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
X_n &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(n+1)nt^{n-1}r^2\partial_\zeta - t^{n+1}\partial_t - \frac{n+1}{2}t^n r\partial_r - \frac{n+1}{2}xt^n \\
Y_m &= \mathrm{i}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)t^{m-1/2}r\partial_\zeta - t^{m+1/2}\partial_j \\
M_n &= \mathrm{i}t^n\partial_\zeta \\
N &= \zeta\partial_\zeta - t\partial_t + \xi\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Herein, the constant $\xi$ is identical to the second scaling dimension which arises in the representation (10) of the ageing algebra $\mathfrak{age}(1)$. The Schrödinger-Ward identities of the generators $M_0, X_{-1}, Y_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ readily imply translation-invariance in $\zeta,t,r$. Co-variance under the generators $X_{0,1}$ and $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}$ leads to $\widehat{F}(\zeta,t,u) = |t|^{-x}f(u|t|^{-1})$, with $x := x_1 = x_2$ and where $u = 2\zeta t + r^2$ in an otherwise natural notation; $f$ remains an undetermined function. This form is still to general to solve the question raised above. Co-variance under $N$ restricts its form further, to a simple power law:

$$\widehat{F}(\zeta,t,r) = \langle \widehat{\phi}(\zeta,t,r)\widehat{\phi}^*(0,0,0)\rangle = \widehat{f}_0\,|t|^{-x}\left(\frac{2\zeta t + \mathrm{i}r^2}{|t|}\right)^{-x-\xi_1-\xi_2} \tag{29}$$

with a normalisation constant $f_0$. Now, one imposes the physical convention that the mass $\mathscr{M} > 0$ of the scaling operator $\phi$ should be positive. If $\frac{1}{2}(x_1+x_2)+\xi_1+\xi_2 > 0$,

then a standard calculation of the inverse of the transformation (27) applied to both scaling operators in (29) leads to, already extended to dimensions $d \geq 1$

$$F(t,\mathbf{r}) = \delta(\mathscr{M}+\mathscr{M}^*)\,\delta_{x_1,x_2}\,\Theta(t)\,t^{-x_1}\,\exp\left[-\frac{\mathscr{M}}{2}\frac{\mathbf{r}^2}{t}\right]F_0(\mathscr{M}) \tag{30}$$

where the $\Theta$-function expresses the causality condition $t>0$ [21].

The same argument goes through for logarithmic representations of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sch}}(d)$ [21].

## *3.2 Conformal Galilean algebra*

The dual coordinate $\zeta$ is now introduced via

$$\widehat{\phi}(\zeta,t,\mathbf{r}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}\gamma\, e^{\mathrm{i}\gamma\zeta}\,\phi_\gamma(t,\mathbf{r}) \tag{31}$$

The generators of the parabolic sub-algebra $\widetilde{\mathrm{CGA}}(1)\subset B_2$ (see figure1(c)), including the new generator $N$, acting on $\widehat{\phi}$, read

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_t - (n+1)t^n r\partial_r + \mathrm{i}(n+1)nt^{n-1}r\partial_\zeta - (n+1)xt^n \\ Y_n &= -t^{n+1}\partial_r + \mathrm{i}(n+1)t^n\partial_\zeta \\ N &= -\zeta\partial_\zeta - r\partial_r - \nu \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Letting $\widehat{F} = \langle\widehat{\phi}_1\widehat{\phi}_2\rangle$, time- and space-translation-invariance imply $\widehat{F} = \widehat{F}(\zeta_+,\zeta_-,t,r)$, with $\zeta_\pm := \frac{1}{2}(\zeta_1 \pm \zeta_2)$. There is no translation-invariance in the $\zeta_j$; rather, combination of the generators $Y_{0,1}$ leads to $\partial_{\zeta_-}\widehat{F}=0$. As usual, combination of $X_{0,1}$ gives the constraint $x_1=x_2$ and the two remaining generators of $\mathrm{CGA}(1)$ give $\widehat{F} = |t|^{-2x_1}\widehat{f}(\zeta_+ + \mathrm{i}r/t)$, with a yet un-determined function $\widehat{f}$. As for $\mathfrak{sch}(1)$, this form is still too general to answer the question raised above. However, co-variance under $N$ gives $\widehat{f}(u) = \widehat{f}_0 u^{-2\nu}$, with $2\nu := \nu_1+\nu_2$ and a normalisation constant $\widehat{f}_0$.

To proceed, we require the following fact [1, ch. 11].

**Definition**. *A function $g:\mathbb{H}_+\to\mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{H}_+$ is the upper complex half-plane of all $w=u+\mathrm{i}\mathrm{v}$ with $\mathrm{v}>0$, is in the* Hardy class $H_2^+$, *if $g(w)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{H}_+$ and if*

$$M^2 = \sup_{\mathrm{v}>0}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}u\,|g(u+\mathrm{i}\mathrm{v})|^2 < \infty \tag{33}$$

We shall also need the Hardy class $H_2^-$, where $\mathbb{H}_+$ is replaced by the lower complex half-plane $\mathbb{H}_-$ and the supremum in (33) is taken over $\mathrm{v}<0$.

**Lemma**. [1] *If $g\in H_2^\pm$, then there are functions $\mathscr{G}_\pm\in L^2(0,\infty)$ such that for* $\mathrm{v}>0$

$$g(w) = g(u\pm\mathrm{i}\mathrm{v}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\gamma\, e^{\pm\mathrm{i}\gamma w}\,\mathscr{G}_\pm(\gamma) \tag{34}$$

Next, we fix $\lambda := r/t$ and re-write the function $\widehat{f}$ which determines the structure of the two-point function $\widehat{F}$, as

$$\widehat{f}(\zeta_+ + \mathrm{i}\lambda) = f_\lambda(\zeta_+) \tag{35}$$

**Proposition**. *If* $\nu > \frac{1}{4}$ *and if* $\lambda > 0$, *then* $f_\lambda \in H_2^+$.

*Proof.* The analyticity in $\mathbb{H}_+$ is obvious from the definition of $f_\lambda$. For the bound (33), observe that $|f_\lambda(u+\mathrm{iv})| = \left|\widehat{f}_0(u+\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{v}+\lambda))^{-2\nu}\right| = \overline{f_0}\left(u^2+(\mathrm{v}+\lambda)^2\right)^{-\nu}$. Hence

$$M^2 = \sup_{\mathrm{v}>0}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}u\,|f_\lambda(u+\mathrm{iv})|^2 = \overline{f_0}^2\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(2\nu-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2\nu)}\sup_{\mathrm{v}>0}(\mathrm{v}+\lambda)^{1-4\nu} < \infty$$

since the integral converges for $\nu > \frac{1}{4}$. □

Similarly, for $\nu > \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda < 0$, we have $f_\lambda \in H_2^-$.

For $\lambda > 0$, we use eq. (34) from the lemma to re-write $\widehat{f}$ as follows

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\widehat{f}(\zeta_+ + \mathrm{i}\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\gamma_+\, e^{\mathrm{i}(\zeta_+ + \mathrm{i}\lambda)\gamma_+}\widehat{\mathscr{F}_+}(\gamma_+) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}\gamma_+\,\Theta(\gamma_+)\,e^{\mathrm{i}(\zeta_+ + \mathrm{i}\lambda)\gamma_+}\widehat{\mathscr{F}_+}(\gamma_+) \tag{36}$$

such that by inverting (31), the two-point function $F$ finally becomes, with $x_1 = x_2$

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{|t|^{-2x_1}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\mathrm{d}\zeta_+\mathrm{d}\zeta_-\, e^{-\mathrm{i}(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)\zeta_+}e^{-\mathrm{i}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\zeta_-}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}\gamma_+\,\Theta(\gamma_+)\widehat{\mathscr{F}_+}(\gamma_+)e^{-\gamma_+\lambda}e^{\mathrm{i}\gamma_+\zeta_+} \\ &= \frac{|t|^{-2x_1}}{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}\gamma_+\,\Theta(\gamma_+)\widehat{\mathscr{F}_+}(\gamma_+)e^{-\gamma_+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}\zeta_-\, e^{-\mathrm{i}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\zeta_-}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}\zeta_+\, e^{\mathrm{i}(\gamma_+-\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\zeta_+} \\ &= \delta(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\Theta(\gamma_1)F_{0,+}(\gamma_1)e^{-2\gamma_1\lambda}|t|^{-2x_1} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where in the last line, two $\delta$-functions were used and $F_{0,+}$ contains the unspecified dependence on the positive constant $\gamma_1$.

For $\lambda < 0$, we can use again the second form of the lemma, with $f_\lambda \in H_2^-$, and find $F = \delta(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\Theta(-\gamma_1)F_{0,-}(\gamma_1)e^{2\gamma_1|\lambda|}|t|^{-2x_1}$. These two forms can be combined into a single one, immediately generalised to $d \geq 1$ dimensions, and assumed continuous in $\mathbf{r}$ and rotation-invariant as well

$$F(t,\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{x_1,x_2}\delta(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)\,|t|^{-2x_1}\exp\left[-2\left|\frac{\gamma_1\cdot\mathbf{r}}{t}\right|\right]F_0(\gamma_1^2) \tag{38}$$

### *3.3 Discussion*

Surprisingly, our attempts to establish sufficient criteria that the two-point function $F(t,s;\mathbf{r})$ vanishes in the limit $|\mathbf{r}| \to 0$, led to qualitatively different types of results. **A)** For the Schrödinger algebra with the representation (4), the extension to the corresponding maximal parabolic sub-algebra and the dualisation of the mass $\mathscr{M}$ has

led to the form (30). It is maximally asymmetric under permutation of its two scaling operators and obeys a causality condition $t_1 - t_2 > 0$. In applications, it should predict the form of *response functions*. Indeed, we quoted in section 2 several examples where response functions of non-equilibrium many-body systems undergoing physical ageing are described by (30), or logarithmic extensions thereof.
**B)** For the conformal Galilean algebra with the representation (6), there is no central extension which would produce a Bargman superselection rule for the rapidities $\gamma$. An analogous extension to the maximal parabolic sub-algebra and the dualisation of the rapidities rather produced the form (38). It is fully symmetric under the permutation of its scaling operators. This is a characteristic of *correlation functions*. Our result therefore suggests that searches for physical applications of the conformal Galilean algebra should concentrate on studying co-variant correlators, rather than response functions.

Also, these examples indicate that a deeper analytic structure might be found upon investigating the dual two-point functions $\widehat{F}$, rather than keeping masses $\mathscr{M}$ or rapidities $\gamma$ fixed.

Another possibility concerns the extension of these lines to non-local representations of these algebras [48], see also elsewhere in this volume.

**Acknowledgements** MH is grateful to the organisers of LT-10 for the kind invitation. Ce travail a reçu du support financier par PHC Rila et par le Collège Doctoral franco-allemand Nancy-Leipzig-Coventry (Systèmes complexes à l'équilibre et hors équilibre) de l'UFA-DFH.

## References

1. N.I. Akhiezer, *Lectures on Integral Transforms*, Translations of mathematical monographs **70**, Charkiv University 1984/American Mathematical Society (Providence RI 1988).
2. N. Aizawa, "Some representations of plane Galilean conformal algebra", [arXiv:1212.6288].
3. K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, A. Kijanka-Dec, "Nonrelativistic conformal transformations in Langrangian formalism", [arXiv:1301.1531].
4. A. Bagchi and I. Mandal, "On Representations and Correlation Functions of Galilean Conformal Algebras," Phys. Lett. **B675**, 393 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4524].
5. A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal and A. Miwa, "CGA in 2d", JHEP **1008**, 004 (2010) [arXiv:0912.1090].
6. A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, "Flat-space chiral gravity", Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 151301 (2012) [arXiv:1208.1658].
7. A. Bagchi, S. Detournay, R. Fareghbal, J. Simón, "Holographies of 3*D* flat cosmological horizons", Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 141302 (2013) [arXiv:1208.4372]
8. G. Barnich and G. Compère, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** F15 (2007); **24**, 3139 (2007) [gr-qc/0610130].
9. G. Barnich, A. Gomberoff, H.A. González, "Three-dimensional Bondi-Metzner-Sachs invariant two-dimensional field-theories as the flat limit of Liouville theory", Phys. Rev. **D87**, 124032 (2007) [arXiv:1210.0731].
10. A.J. Bray, "Theory of phase-ordering", Adv. Phys. **43**, 357 (1994);
A.J. Bray and A.D. Rutenberg, "Growth laws for phase-ordering", Phys. Rev. **E49**, R27, (1994).

11. S. Chakraborty and P. Dey, "Wess-Zumino-Witten model for Galilean conformal algebra", [arXiv:1209.0191]
12. R. Cherniha and M. Henkel, "The exotic conformal Galilei algebra and non-linear partial differential equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369**, 120 (2010). [arXiv:0910.4822]
13. C. Duval and P.A. Horváthy, "Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures", J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 465206 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0531].
14. A.V. Galajinsky, "Remark on quantum mechanics with conformal Galilean symmetry", Phys. Rev. **D78**, 087701 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1553].
15. D. Giulini, "On Galilei-invariance in quantum mechanics and the Bargman superselection rule", Ann. of Phys. **249**, 222 (1996) [quant-ph/9508002].
16. V. Gurarie, "Logarithmic operators in conformal field theory," Nucl. Phys. **B410**, 535 (1993) [arXiv:9303160].
17. P. Havas, J. Plebanski "Conformal extensions of the Galilei group and their relation to the Schrödinger group," J. Math. Phys. **19**, 482 (1978)
18. M. Henkel, "Schrödinger-invariance in strongly anisotropic critical systems," J. Stat. Phys. **75**, 1023 (1994) [hep-th/9310081].
19. M. Henkel, "Local scale invariance and strongly anisotropic equilibrium critical systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1940 (1997) [cond-mat/9610174].
20. M. Henkel, "Phenomenology of local scale-invariance: from conformal invariance to dynamical scaling", Nucl. Phys. **B641**, 405 (2002) [hep-th/0205256].
21. M. Henkel and J. Unterberger, "Schrödinger invariance and space-time symmetries", Nucl. Phys. **B660**, 407 (2003) [hep-th/0302187];
    M. Henkel, "Causality from dynamical symmetry: an example from local scale-invariance", proceedings of the 7th AGMP conference 24-26 oct. 2011 at Mulhouse (France) (at press (2013)) [arXiv:1205.5901]
22. M. Henkel, T. Enss and M. Pleimling, "On the identification of quasiprimary operators in local scale-invariance", J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **39**, L589 (2006) [cond-mat/0605211];
    A. Picone and M. Henkel, "Local scale-invariance and ageing in noisy systems", Nucl. Phys. **B688** [FS], 217 (2004) [cond-mat/0402196].
23. M. Henkel and M. Pleimling, *Nonequilibrium phase transitions*, vol 2: *Ageing and dynamical scaling far from equilibrium*, Springer (Heidelberg 2010)
24. M. Henkel, J.D. Noh and M.Pleimling, "Phenomenology of ageing in the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation," Phys. Rev. **E85**, 030102(R) (2012) [arXiv:1109.5022].
25. M. Henkel, "On logarithmic extensions of local scale-invariance," Nucl. Phys. **B869** [FS], 282 (2013) [arXiv:1009.4139].
26. M. Henkel and S. Rouhani, "Logarithmic correlators or responses in non-relativistic analogues of conformal invariance", J. Phys A Math. Theor, **46**, 494004 (2013) [arXiv:1302.7136].
27. M. Henkel, A. Hosseiny and S. Rouhani, "Logarithmic Exotic Conformal Galilean Algebras" Nucl. Phys. **B879** [PM], 292 (2014); [arXiv:1311.3457].
28. A. Hosseiny and S. Rouhani, "Logarithmic Correlators in Non-relativistic Conformal Field Theory," J. Math. Phys. **51**, 102303 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1036].
29. A. Hosseiny and A. Naseh, "On holographic realization of logarithmic Galilean conformal algebra", J. Math. Phys. **52** 092501 (2011). [arXiv:1101.2126].
30. A. Hosseiny and S. Rouhani, "Affine Extension of Galilean Conformal Algebra in 2+1 Dimensions," J. Math. Phys. **51**, 052307 (2010) [arXiv:0909.1203].
31. K. Hotta, T. Kubota, T. Nishinaka, "Galilean conformal algebra in two dimensions and cosmological topologically massive gravity", Nucl. Phys. **B838**, 358 (2010) [arXiv:1003.1203].
32. Y.-W. Kim, Y.S. Myung, Y.-J. Park, "Massive logarithmic gravition in the critical generalised massive gravity", [arXiv:1301.3604]
33. A.W. Knapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups: an overview based on examples*, Princeton Univ. Press (Princeton NJ 1986).
34. K. Kytölä and D. Ridout, "On staggered indecomposable Virasoro modules ," J. Math. Phys. **50**, 123503 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0108].

35. K.M. Lee, S. Lee and S. Lee, "Nonrelativistic Superconformal M2-Brane Theory", JHEP 0909:030 (2009) [arXiv:0902.3857]
36. J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel and W.J. Zakrzewski, "Exotic Galilean conformal symmetry and its dynamical realisations," Phys. Lett. **A357**, 1 (2006) [arXiv:0511259].
37. J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel and W.J. Zakrzewski, "Acceleration-extended galilean symmetries with central charges and their dynamical realizations," Phys. Lett. **B650**, 203 (2007) [arXiv:0511259].
38. J. Lukierski, "Galilean conformal and superconformal symmetries", Phys. Atom. Nucl. **75**, 1256 (2012) [arXiv:1101.4202]
39. D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, "Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization," J. High. Phys. **1005**, 091 (2010) [arXiv:0903.5184].
40. P. Mathieu and D. Ridout, "From Percolation to Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory," Phys. Lett. **B657**, 120 (2007) [arXiv:0708.0802];
"Logarithmic $\mathscr{M}(2,p)$ minimal models, their logarithmic coupling and duality", Nucl. Phys. **B801**, 268 (2008) [arXiv:0711.3541].
41. Yu. Nakayama, "Universal time-dependent deformations of Schrödinger geometry", J. High-energy Phys. **04**, 102 (2010). [arXiv:1002.0615]
42. J. Negro, M.A. del Olmo and A. Rodríguez-Marco, "Nonrelativistic conformal groups" I & II, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 3786 and 3810 (1997).
43. M.R. Rahimi Tabar, A. Aghamohammadi and M. Khorrami, "The logarithmic conformal field theories", Nucl. Phys. **B497**, 555 (1997) [hep-th/9610168].
44. A. Gainutdinov, D. Ridout and I. Runkel, "Logarithmic conformal field-theory", J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **46**, 490301 (2013) and the other reviews in that issue.
45. P. Ruelle, "Logarithmic conformal invariance in the Abelian sandpile model", J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **46**, 494014 (2013) [arXiv:1303.4310].
46. H. Saleur, "Polymers and percolation in two dimensions and twisted $N = 2$ supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. **B382**, 486 (1992) [hep-th/9111007].
47. F. Sastre and M. Henkel, in preparation.
48. S. Stoimenov and M. Henkel, "Non-local representations of the ageing algebra in higher dimensions", J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 245004 (2013) [arXiv:1212.6156];
"On non-local representations of the ageing algebra", Nucl. Phys. **B847**, 612 (2011) [arXiv:1011.6315].
49. J. Unterberger and C. Roger, *The Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra*, Springer (Heidelberg 2012).